

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN  
ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

TO: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SOLICITOR

JUL 19 2006

U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

In compliance with 35:290 and/or 15 U.S.C. 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action  
has been filed on the following patent(s)/trademarks in the U.S. District Court:

DOCKET NO.  
CA 04-1436

DATE FILED  
11/09/04

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
District of Delaware

PLAINTIFF  
St. Clair Property

DEFENDANT  
Samsung Electronics

PATENT/TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT/TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK

1	5,138,459	08/11/92	Personal Computer Cameras Inc.
2	6,094,219	07/25/00	St. Clair Intellectual Prop
3	6,233,010 B1	05/15/01	St. Clair Intellectual Prop
4	6,323,899 B1	11/27/01	St. Clair Intellectual Prop

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY  
 Amendment  Answer  Cross Bill  Other Pleading

PATENT/TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT/TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or  
judgment issued:

DECISION/JUDGMENT

*see attached*

DIRECTOR CLERK

CLERK

*A. H. Campbell*  
(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE JUL 19 2006

ALERN U.S. DISTRICT COURT,  
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

2006 JUL 17 AM 11:18

ST. CLAIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY :  
CONSULTANTS, INC., :  
: Plaintiff, :  
: : Civil Action NO. 04-1436-JJF  
v. :  
: :  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., :  
et al., :  
: Defendant. :

O R D E R

WHEREAS, the above-captioned case has been stayed (D.I. 109) pending resolution of the patent ownership dispute between Eastman Kodak Co. and St. Clair Intellectual Property Consultants, Inc. in Mirage Systems, Inc. v. SpeasI, Civil Action No. 05-39164 (Cal. Sup. Ct. April 12, 2005);

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is STAYED and CLOSED administratively. The parties shall file a Status Report every ninety (90) days from the date of this Order, and the Court will reopen the matter upon the written application of any party.

July 17, 2006  
DATE

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE